



SOC 1 REPORT

FOR

SCREENING SERVICES

A TYPE 2 INDEPENDENT SERVICE AUDITOR'S REPORT ON A DESCRIPTION OF A SERVICE ORGANIZATION'S SYSTEM AND THE SUITABILITY OF THE DESIGN AND OPERATING EFFECTIVENESS OF CONTROLS

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2015, TO SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
AICPA SSAE No. 16 STANDARD

Attestation and Compliance Services



Proprietary & Confidential

Unauthorized use, reproduction or distribution of this report, in whole or in part, is strictly prohibited.

This report is intended solely for use by the management of MicroBilt Corporation, its user entities (i.e., customers) that utilized the services covered by this report during the specified time period, and the independent financial statement auditors of those user entities (each referred to herein as a "specified user").

If report recipient is not a specified user (herein referred to as a "non-specified user"), use of this report is the non-specified user's sole responsibility and at the non-specified user's sole and exclusive risk. Non-specified users may not rely on this report and do not acquire any rights against BrightLine CPAs & Associates, Inc. as a result of such access. Further, BrightLine CPAs & Associates, Inc. does not assume any duties or obligations to any non-specified user who obtains this report and/or has access to it.

Unauthorized use, reproduction or distribution of this report, in whole or in part, is strictly prohibited.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1	INDEPENDENT SERVICE AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SECTION 2	MANAGEMENT'S ASSERTION	4
SECTION 3	DESCRIPTION OF THE SYSTEM	7
SECTION 4	TESTING MATRICES	23
SECTION 5	OTHER INFORMATION PROVIDED BY MANAGEMENT	51

SECTION I

INDEPENDENT SERVICE AUDITOR'S REPORT

INDEPENDENT SERVICE AUDITOR'S REPORT

To MicroBilt Corporation:

We have examined MicroBilt Corporation's ("MicroBilt" or the "service organization") description of its screening services system for providing decision support services at the Kennesaw, Georgia, and Princeton, New Jersey, facilities throughout the period January 1, 2015, to September 30, 2015, (the "description") and the suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of controls to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description. The description indicates that certain control objectives specified in the description can be achieved only if complementary user entity controls contemplated in the design of MicroBilt's controls are suitably designed and operating effectively, along with related controls at the service organization. We have not evaluated the suitability of the design or operating effectiveness of such complementary user entity controls.

In Section 2, MicroBilt has provided an assertion about the fairness of the presentation of the description and suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of the controls to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description. MicroBilt is responsible for preparing the description and for the assertion, including the completeness, accuracy, and method of presentation of the description and the assertion, providing the services covered by the description, specifying the control objectives and stating them in the description, identifying the risks that threaten the achievement of the control objectives, selecting the criteria, and designing, implementing, and documenting controls to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description.

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the fairness of the presentation of the description and on the suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of the controls to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description, based on our examination. We conducted our examination in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Those standards require that we plan and perform our examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether, in all material respects, the description is fairly presented and the controls were suitably designed and operating effectively to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description throughout the period January 1, 2015, to September 30, 2015.

An examination of a description of a service organization's system and the suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of the service organization's controls to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the fairness of the presentation of the description and the suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of those controls to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description. Our procedures included assessing the risks that the description is not fairly presented and that the controls were not suitably designed or operating effectively to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description. Our procedures also included testing the operating effectiveness of those controls that we consider necessary to provide reasonable assurance that the related control objectives stated in the description were achieved. An examination engagement of this type also includes evaluating the overall presentation of the description and the suitability of the control objectives stated therein, and the suitability of the criteria specified by the service organization and described in Section 2. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Because of their nature, controls at a service organization may not prevent, or detect and correct, all errors or omissions in providing decision support services. Also, the projection to the future of any evaluation of the fairness of the presentation of the description, or conclusions about the suitability of the design or operating effectiveness of the controls to achieve the related control objectives is subject to the risk that controls at a service organization may become inadequate or fail.

In our opinion, in all material respects, based on the criteria described in MicroBilt's assertion in Section 2,

- a. the description fairly presents the screening services system that was designed and implemented throughout the period January 1, 2015, to September 30, 2015;
- b. the controls related to the control objectives stated in the description were suitably designed to provide reasonable assurance that the control objectives would be achieved if the controls operated effectively

throughout the period January 1, 2015, to September 30, 2015, and user entities applied the complementary user entity controls contemplated in the design of MicroBilt's controls throughout the period January 1, 2015, to September 30, 2015; and

- c. the controls tested, which together with the complementary user entity controls referred to in the scope paragraph of this report, if operating effectively,, were those necessary to provide reasonable assurance that the control objectives stated in the description were achieved, operated effectively throughout the period January 1, 2015, to September 30, 2015.

The specific controls tested and the nature, timing, and results of those tests are listed in Section 4 (the "Testing Matrices").

In Section 5, MicroBilt has provided additional information that is not a part of MicroBilt's description. Such information has not been subjected to the procedures applied in our examination of the description and of the suitability of design and operating effectiveness of controls to achieve the related control objectives stated in the description, and accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report, including the description of the tests of controls and results thereof in the Testing Matrices, is intended solely for the information and use of MicroBilt, user entities of MicroBilt's screening services system during some or all of the period January 1, 2015, to September 30, 2015, and the independent auditors of such user entities, who have a sufficient understanding to consider it, along with other information including information about controls implemented by user entities themselves, when assessing the risks of material misstatements of user entities' financial statements. This report is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

BRIGHTLINE CPAs & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Tampa, Florida
November 11, 2015